

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/810,578	03/29/2004	Hagit Eldar-Finkelman	27457	2785	
7590 09/28/2005			EXAMINER		
G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.			RUSSEL, JEFFREY E		
c/o ANTHONY SUITE 207	Y CASTORINA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			1654		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	·	
	10/810,5		ELDAR-FINKEL	ELDAR-FINKELMAN, HAGIT	
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit		
	Jeffrey E.	Russel	1654		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply			with the correspondence	address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of the first or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the stat eriod will apply and w statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a tutory minimum of th vill expire SIX (6) MO olication to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered tir DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 March 2004.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is n				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except	for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to t	the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			· •		
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		nsideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	uirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			, ,		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	ote the attache	ed Office Action or form	PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority un	der 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			Application No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			· ·	al Stage	
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certi	fied copies no	t received.		
American (C)					
			Summary (PTO-413)		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892))		(s)/Mail Date		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)	

W

Art Unit: 1654

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 3-4, drawn to a peptide inhibitor based upon the sequence of cAMP response element binding protein, an example of which is SEQ ID NO:5, classified in class 530, subclass 327.
- II. Claims 5-6, drawn to a peptide inhibitor based upon the sequence of heat shock factor-1 protein, an example of which is SEQ ID NO:7, classified in class 530, subclass 327.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

The inventions of Group I and Group II are patentably distinct products. The products are patentably distinct from one another because of their materially different amino acid sequences. A search of one of the sequences would not be expected to uncover prior art relevant to the other sequence.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the sequence search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and the sequence search for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Claims 1, 2, and 7-9 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 2, and 7-9. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the

Art Unit: 1654

limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (571) 272-0969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Bruce Campell can be reached at (571) 272-0974. The fax number for formal communications to be entered into the record is (571) 273-8300; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (571) 273-0969 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1600 receptionist is (571) 272-1600.

Jeffrey E. Russel Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654

JRussel August 26, 2005